While waiting for 2pm

Travellers get filled in wrongly...

Fill the board number in.

North Select the row for North's pair number. (North's back is to screen here).

East Write East's pair number next to North's. (Here it is often North's + 8).

Contract Write the contract and the result. Eg 1NT+2 3NT-1 2NT✓ The result isn't a general requirement, but I want you to do it. Show doubles with an x Eg 5Cx-1. Put it all inside the one box.

Declarer Write N or S or E or W. With 4 travellers, getting two saying S and two saying N is not helpful.

Lead (and Match Points) Don't bother.

Tricks A number from 0 to 13.

Score Put it in the correct column

Make it all legible. If you make a big error, strike it all out and use a spare blank line at the bottom.

While waiting for 2pm

Post session comments on this week's traveller's:

- Board number not filled in
- Board number filled in wrongly
- Result (2H-1) not all in the one box on the form (2H- in the correct box, 1 in the By column)
- When contract made exactly, no tick $(2H\checkmark)$
- Declarer not filled in

Which all in all is excellent. Thanks.

Week 2 Hand Evaluation



Steve Bailey

USA Intermediate Bridge 2016-17 Week 2 Hand Evaluation

See RWPB chapter 1.

Standard hand evaluation comprises three parts:

- How good each card is individually (HCP).
- How good each suit is the shape of suits (Points).
- How well your hand meshes with your partner's hand fit.

Week 2 Hand Evaluation

High Card Points (HCP)

This is the most basic method of evaluation

Award:

4 HCP for each Ace3 HCP for each King2 HCP for each Queen1 HCP for each Jack

There are 10 HCP in each suit and 40 HCP in a complete pack.

An "ideal" deal will give each player 10 points (and often all will pass).

Week 2 Hand Evaluation

HCP probabilities, or How likely is it to have X HCP?

0	0.4%		14	5.7%	
1	0.8%		15	4.4%	
2	1.4%		16	3.3%	
3	2.5%		17	2.4%	
4	3.9%		18	1.6%	
5	5.2%		19	1%	1 in 100 deals
6	6.6%		20	0.6%	
7	8%		21	0.4%	
8	8.9%		22	0.2%	
9	9.4%	~1 in 10 deals	23	0.1%	1 in 1000 deals
10	9.4%		24	0.1%	
11	8.9%		25+	0.0%	not actually zero
12	8%		Applie	s in the ab	osence of knowledge
13	6.9%		of the	HCP of ar	ny other hand.

8%+ shown red totals 54%.

You don't need to memorize this!

Shape

The archetypal hand has $3\frac{1}{4}$ cards in each suit – obviously this is impossible.

The closest reality can get to this is a balanced hand with 4 cards in one suit and 3 cards in the other three – aka "4333".

The next most balanced hands can be found by moving one card from a short suit to another one – "5332" and "4432".

Either remember these 3 shapes or recall: "No singleton nor two doubletons"

or

"Longest two suits total 8- in length, but not 4441."

You can/should modify your HCP into Points (Pts) depending upon shape.

Shape

You can/should modify your HCP into Points (Pts) depending upon shape.

In a non-balanced hand, add 1 Pt for each card in excess of L4.

In a balanced hand, subtract 1 Pt for a 4333 shape. (B Magee, not RWPB.)

Example:

🛦 A K J 8 7	8 HCP + 1 Pt
♥ 6	0
♦ K J 9 8 7	4 HCP + 1 Pt
♣ 8 4	0
Total ("5521")	12 HCP, 14 Pts

Once you have "agreed" NT, you can add 1pt for a 5332 hand. (SGB). Largely because you don't want to add it earlier and yet it seems right to use it if the bidding carries on. This is **not** published expert advice.

Fit

Once you have found a suit fit (joint L8suit) with your partner – not before – add extra points for shortages.

There are two scales depending upon whether the fit is in "my" suit or "partner's" suit. Only add points for shortages in suits partner has not bid.

By "my" suit (your viewpoint) I mean one you have "suggested", usually by bidding it, sometimes by asking partner to bid it – eg Transfers.

Also this only applies to natural suits, not suits bid because of a convention.

Extra points	"My" suit	Partner's suit
L0 (void)	3	5
L1 (singleton)	2	3
L2 (doubleton)	1	1
<i>Memory jogger: "My" is shorter t</i> "321" is less		

USA Intermediate Bridge 2016-17 Week 2 Hand Evaluation

Fit

AKJ87 ♥ 6 ♦ KJ987 ♣ 84 5521 12 HCP, 14 Pts

Bidding (Assuming opponents always pass here) (Hand above is dealer):

1▲ 12...19 Pts, L4+▲
2▲ 9+ Pts, L4+▲ no fit yet. ▲ is a misfit.
2▲ L5+▲, L4+◆
2▲ Showing preference, minimal hand

Now you have a fit in spades – **my** suit (321). Do not add anything for club shortage – it is partner's suit.

Add 2 Pts for the singleton in hearts – 16 Pts.

16 + 9 = 25. Enough for a game

4♠

**** U3A Intermediate Bridge 2016-17 **** Week 2 Hand Evaluation

Fit

AKJ87 ♥ 6 ♦ KJ987 ♣ 84 5521 12 HCP, 14 Pts

Bidding (Assuming opponents always pass here) (Hand above is dealer):

12 .. 19 Pts, L4+♠

2♦ 9+ Pts, L4+♦

Now you have a fit in diamonds – partner's suit (531). Add 1 Pt for L2 \clubsuit Add 3 Pts for L1 \checkmark – 18 Pts.

18 + 9 = 27. Enough for game. Show the fit to partner. *Enough for slam???*

3♦

. . .

1♠

Further bidding will see if a game contract (3NT or 5D) or a slam is appropriate.

Week 2 Hand Evaluation

More hand evaluation

There are more advanced hand evaluation schemes, some dedicated to particular situations. We will look at these in other weeks.

Amongst them are:

- Losing Trick Count
- Suit Quality Overcall Test SQOT
- Weak 2 quality

Week 2 Hand Evaluation

Joint hand evaluation

Each bid tells you something about the bidder's hand – both your bids and partner's bids, but also your opponent's bids.

Joint Pts	Target
2022	7 tricks (part score)
2324	8 tricks (part score)
25	9 tricks (NT game, probable 10 trick major suit game)
2628	10 tricks (Major suit game, possible minor suit game)
2932	11 tricks (Minor suit game)
3336	12 tricks (Small slam) RWPB has 3335
3740	13 tricks (Grand slam) No missing ace
	I do not recommend Grand slams for intermediates.

Bidding aim:

- 1) Major suit contract
- 2) NT contract
- 3) Minor suit contract

Play

Divide yourselves into pairs and then into tables.

This week I may ask you to move.


NS have the pair number of their table, N has his back to the screen. EW have the pair number of their table plus 8. (It was 6 last year.)

When you have played a hand, pass it to the next numbered table in sequence. The highest numbered table passes to table 1.

And one last thing – **as part of the learning process** – I would like the partner of whoever has just bid to take whatever action is **standardly required** (announcing 1NT to be 12-14 points, ALERTing a conventional bid, ...) – **AND ALSO** to give a brief **description** to the other three players on what the bid means.

Any questions?	Tea / coffee & biscuit. (20p)	PLAY
----------------	-------------------------------	------

Week 2 New Syllabus



The end

Week 2 set hand references follow.



U3A Intermediate Bridge Week 2 Set Hands

Set hand sources

BWSHH = A Robson, Bridge, What Should Have Happened

- PBB = EBU, Practice Beginning Bridge
- PCB = EBU Practice Continuing Bridge
- REP2 = EBU, Really Easy Practice #2
- AR:DNC = A Robson, Declaring No-Trump Contracts

===

Blue results from travellers

Red results are reference results.

Boards as played are rotated compared to the book presentation (which mostly make South dealer). Rotation defined by position of A.

Set hands

#	Dlr	Vul	Source		Dlr
1	Ν	None	BWSH	H#5	
1	9	2NT-1	N 7	-	50
2	11	1NT=	N 7	90	-
3	10	2H-1	W 7	50	-
Re	ef	1NT=	N 7	90	-

Dlr = Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.

A**≜**=W

- S too weak to use Stayman As book :-)
 - An excellent sacrifice by W But very risky, easily "2H-2"

Set hands

#	Dlr	Vul	Source		Dlr =	Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.
2	Ε	N/S	PBB#S1F	-14		A ≜ =N
	•	2NT= 3C-1	•••	120 -	- 100	How did south declare 2NT? Presumably Stayman converted to 3C with only L5C.
Re	ef	2NT=	N 8	120	-	

Set hands

#	Dlr	Vul	Source			DIr = Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.
3	S	E/W	REP2#S6H3			A ≜ =S
2 3	10 9	3NT+1 3NT-3 3NT-1 3NT+2	E E	6 8	300 100	630 - - 660

Table 1 just about managed the book result. Why were the other tables so far from the target? Perhaps this hand needs reviewing...

USA Intermediate Bridge 2016-17 Week 2 Set Hands

Set hands

# Dir	Vul	Source		Dlr =	Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.
4 W	All	REP2#S2	2H1		A ≜ =W
3 9	1NT+1 2S-1 2S+2 4S	E 7	- 100 -	120 - 170 620	*

 * The cards were returned to the wrong slots for "3 v 9" by 180°. Dealer was still W, but they had E's cards.

Set hands

#	Dlr	Vul	So	urce		Dlr = [Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability	′.
5	Ν	N/S	PC	CB#S5	H1			A ≜ =S
2	9 11	3NT+2 6NT= 4NT= 6NT=	N N	12 10	660 1440 630 1440	-	Excellent.	

Set hands

#	Dlr	Vul	Source		Dlr =	Dealer, Vul = Vulnerabilit	у.
6	Ε	E/W	BWSHH#3				A ≜ =N
2	9 11	2S+1 2S-1 2S= 2S=	E 9 W 7 W 8 W 8	- 100 - -	140 - 110 110	"10" played transfers.	

Set hands

Dir Vul Source Dir = Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.

7 S All REP2#S6H8

A**♠**=W

Not played.

Set hands

- **# Dir Vul Source** Dir = Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.
- 8 W None AR:DNC#3[H↔S]

A**≜**=W

Not played.

Set hands

- **# Dir Vul Source** Dir = Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.
- 9 N E/W AR:DNC#40b

A♠=E

Not played.