


Week 2 Pre-empts



Steve Bailey



### Week 2 Play

#### Play

NS have the pair number of their table, N has his back to the stage. EW have the pair number of their table plus 8.

Play boards in sequence. Have an incoming pile and an outgoing pile. Pass outgoing to next lower numbered table – Table 1 to highest table.

Many boards have instructions on coloured card. The appropriate people should read each card at the time it says and follow its instructions. If you play a board twice, record second play on traveller with pair numbers PLUS TEN (eg 1 v 9 becomes 11 v 19)

As part of the learning process – I would like the partner of whoever has just bid to take whatever action is **standardly required** (eg announcing 1NT to be 12-14 points, ALERTing a conventional bid, ...) – **AND ALSO** to give a brief **description** to the other three players on what the bid means.

If you wish to fill in a personal results card, they are available here. They are commonly used at duplicate clubs.


Any questions?

Tea / coffee & biscuit. (20p)

**PLAY** 



Week 2



The end

Week 2 set hand references follow.



#### Week 2 Set hands

#### Set hand sources

RWPB Right Way to Play Bridge by Paul Mendelson

DVD~BM:PEB DVD by Bernard Magee: Pre-Emptive Bidding

===

Blue results as played.

Red results are reference results.

Boards as played are rotated compared to the book presentation (which mostly make South dealer). Rotation defined by position of A.

Several deals were incomplete in the source. Some form of deal was done with the remaining cards.

All boards were played bid & played twice, once with a Pre-Empt (x - y) and once without (10+x - 10+y). Each table was then asked to judge which result was best for the pair that (could have) Pre-Empted. Sometimes it is right to Pre-Empt, sometimes it is wrong.



#	Dlr	Vul	Sou	rce		DIr = D	ealer, Vul = Vulnerability.
1	Ν	None	RWI	PB pg 66	a (-)		A <b>♠</b> =W
1	9	3S=	N	9	140	_	PE better
11	19	4H=	W	10	-	420	
2	10	3S-1	N	8	-	50	Even the undertrick is "PE better"
12 20		Not pla	ayed	- ran out	of time		
Re	f PE	3S=	N	9	140	_	PE better
Re	f No	4H=	W	10	_	420	





```
Dir Vul
           Source
                            Dlr = Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.
     None DVD~BM:PEB@0:06:27
                                                      A♠=W
      Unusual vulnerability
      5D=
                      400 -
                                  PE better
      4H=
11 19
                      420
           S 10
Ref PE 4D+2 N 12
                   170 -
                                  PE better
Ref No 4H+1 S 11
                      450 -
```



#	Dlr	Vul	Sou	rce		Dlr = Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.			
3	S	EW	DVD~BM:PEB@0:12:45						
1	9	4H=	S	10	420	-	PE equal		
11	19	4H=	S	10	420	_	No equal		
2	10	4H=	S	10	420	_	PE equal		
12	20	4H=	S	10	420	_	No equal		
Re	f PE	3H+2	S	11	200	-	PE better		
Re	f No	4H+1	S	11	450	-			




```
Dir Vul
           Source
                            Dlr = Dealer, Vul = Vulnerability.
4 W None DVD~BM:PEB@0:07:30
                                                       A♠=F
      Unusual vulnerability
2 10
      3C+1 W
                            130
                                  PE better
12 20
      4H-1 N 9
                            50
Ref PE 3NT= E 9 - 400 PE better
Ref No 2H+2 N/S 10 170
                                  (WTO or TFR?)
```

♣♥♥♠

# U3A Intermediate Bridge 2019-20

Week 2



The very end